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Foot ulceration is the commonest serious chronic diabetes complication, affecting more people than blindness or renal failure. Amputations remain 15-times more common in people with diabetes than the non-diabetes population and around 50% of all lower limb amputations in the UK are performed due to diabetes. Effective foot care can reduce this by at least a half, as this is the typical reduction reported by diabetes multidisciplinary foot clinics across the UK and the rest of the world. This CPD module discusses the diagnosis and management options for neuropathy, peripheral vascular disease, foot ulceration and Charcot neuroarthropathy.

According to the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) database there are now around 3.2 million people with diabetes in the UK (Diabetes UK, 2014). From Scottish data, around 4.5% of these people have had a foot ulcer, with a slightly higher occurrence in people with type 1 diabetes than type 2 diabetes; this is also true of major amputations (NHS Scotland, 2013).

Foot ulceration is the commonest serious chronic diabetes complication, affecting more people than blindness or renal failure (NHS Scotland, 2012). The ultimate consequence of ulceration – amputation – occurs as either major (above the ankle), or minor (partial foot) in 20% of ulcers (Holman et al, 2012). Amputations remain 15-times more common in people with diabetes than the non-diabetes population and around 50% of all lower limb amputations in the UK are performed due to diabetes (Holman et al, 2012). Effective foot care can reduce this by at least a half, as this is the typical reduction reported by diabetes multidisciplinary foot clinics across the UK and the rest of the world (Matricali et al, 2007). There are five main problems that affect the feet of people with diabetes and these are shown in Box 1.

Diagnosing neuropathy

Clinical diabetic sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy affects around 30% of people with diabetes (Young et al, 1993). The prevalence of neuropathy increases with age, duration of diabetes, glycated haemoglobin, increasing height and smoking. Many studies demonstrate that neuropathy is an ulceration risk factor and screening for loss of protective sensation is a cornerstone of the diabetes annual assessment (Monteiro-Soares et al, 2012).

When examining the foot, it is possible to recognise motor neuropathy by the classical signs of a high medial longitudinal arch, prominent metatarsal heads and pressure areas over the plantar fatty pad, and over the interphalangeal joints due to...
clawing of the toes from intrinsic muscle weakness. There will often be absent reflexes in the lower limb.

Similarly, foot autonomic neuropathy presents as dry skin and fissuring due to decreased sweating, and distended veins over the dorsum of the foot and around the ankle, due to arteriovenous shunting and calcification of vessels, which means that foot pulses are often “bounding”.

Sensory neuropathy can be detected by decreased vibratory sensation, impaired proprioception, or reduced nerve conduction velocities; however, the risk of foot ulceration is only increased when there is profound sensory loss and the commonest method for detection of this is the 10 g monofilament. Whilst testing protocols vary in terms of the number and position of sites, inability to detect the pressure of a 10 g monofilament is consistently associated with a 2–5 fold increase in the risk of foot ulceration. Monofilaments are the most widely used screening method for detection of increased foot ulcer risk; the Neuropathy Disability Score is less widely used (Young et al, 1993).

Using a monofilament
Bounce the monofilament on the individual’s hand to condition the monofilament; this will assure that it is buckling at 10 g when used on the foot and will enable the person to determine what it should feel like. This can be done by asking them to close their eyes and to respond when they feel the monofilament.

The monofilament should then be applied on selected sites, avoiding calloused areas. The sites recommended for high sensitivity are:
- Apex of hallux.
- First, second, third and fifth metatarsal heads.

Ensure that the monofilament bends about 1 cm but do not wiggle the monofilament (see Figure 1). The monofilament should be then removed and the process repeated on the next site.

Peripheral vascular disease
Impaired circulation is another major cause of foot ulceration and significantly increases the risk of a major amputation. People with both type 2 diabetes and type 1 diabetes and microalbuminuria are at increased risk of peripheral vascular disease (PVD). Atherosclerosis reduces blood flow by narrowing blood vessels and compromising oxygen delivery to tissues, which produces intermittent claudication, poor wound healing and eventually leads to devitalised tissue. In people with diabetes, pain is not always present in PVD as the symptoms may be masked by neuropathy.

On examination, feet with significant vascular impairment are often pale or mottled. The skin is typically thin, shiny and hairless. Touch of the feet and lower leg will reveal a cold foot, often with clear line of demarcation or a gradation down the leg from warm to cold. In extreme cases the foot will be red, due to ischaemic vasodilatation, or cyanotic blue.

Palpation of pulses is often undervalued, but is perhaps the most useful clinical technique to determine the adequacy of blood supply to a foot. The presence of one or more palpable foot pulses usually indicates adequate blood supply. The absence of both foot pulses has a poor prognosis.

The use of Ankle Brachial Pressure Index (ABPI) measurements in diabetes is more complicated than for individuals without diabetes. Medial arterial calcification increases in people with diabetes, particularly those with neuropathy and renal impairment, who are more likely to have vascular insufficiency. Arterial calcification makes the blood vessels harder to compress and falsely elevates the ankle systolic pressure. The ABPI compares the ankle with the arm systolic pressure. The normal range is 0.9–1.2 (Al-Qaisi et al, 2009). Due to arterial calcification, indices may be falsely elevated.
into the normal range, or even elevated above normal with incompressible arteries. A low reading will, however, be reliable and the sound of the wave (triphasic, biphasic, or monophasic) will also give clues as to the state of the vascular tree. For this reason, Doppler pressures are still recommended as first-line tests when the arterial circulation of a limb is in doubt.

**Screening for foot ulcer risk**

Assigning a foot ulceration risk category to a person with diabetes has been a requirement of the QOF for the past couple of years. This is based on a measure of protective sensation, usually using a monofilament, the presence or absence of foot pulses and previous history of ulceration or amputation (see Box 2). These are also outlined in Diabetes UK’s “Putting Feet First” campaign. If there is doubt about assigning a risk category, then Diabetes FRAME (www.diabetesframe.org) can provide the theoretical background for effective screening and risk stratification, as well as a printable CPD certificate, and is free to any UK healthcare professional. Individuals with at-risk feet should be referred for preventative podiatry care and those with active foot disease should be referred to the local multidisciplinary foot care team (MDT).

### Painful neuropathy

Painful neuropathy is reported to affect around 10% of people with diabetes, and, of these, around 75% are likely to need treatment to control their symptoms (Gore et al, 2005; Davies et al, 2006). Whilst the diagnosis of painful neuropathy can be relatively simple to make, it is important to exclude other causes of foot pain.

Other diagnoses to consider include mechanical pain (such arthritis and plantar fasciitis); sciatica (frequently unilateral whereas diabetic painful neuropathy is normally bilateral); Morton’s neuroma (typically pain in a single web-space) and vascular insufficiency pain (particularly rest pain that is also worse at night).

### Assessing painful neuropathy

Firstly, assess the characteristics of the pain by simple questions or a structured proforma, such as the modified Neuropathy Symptom Score (Young et al, 1993). Neuropathy pain is typically distal and bilateral, but may be asymmetrical. It is commonly described as numbness, tingling, burning, aching, or throbbing pain that is spontaneous (continuous or intermittent) and not stimulus-evoked.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 2. Risk categories for foot ulceration.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low risk</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No evidence of neuropathy or peripheral vascular disease and no history of previous foot problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate risk</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One risk factor for foot ulceration. Loss of sensation or signs of vascular disease without calluses or deformity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High risk</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ulceration or amputation, or more than one ulceration risk factor (neuropathy and vascular disease, with callus or deformity).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Active foot disease

Currently, there is no recognised evidence base for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Drug treatment for painful neuropathy (British National Formulary; Joint Formulary Committee, 2014).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duloxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregabalin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Unlicensed in the UK
† Requires titration over weeks and multiple tablets
the use of acupuncture to treat painful neuropathy and it is not included in any national guideline. A small, uncontrolled study indicated that acupuncture may be a safe and effective treatment (Abuaisha et al, 1998), although further research is required.

**Charcot neuroarthropathy**

Charcot neuroarthropathy is an uncommon but serious foot complication that is often seen in people with diabetes and significant neuropathy. It presents as a hot, swollen foot and leg, which may, or may not, follow an episode of trauma. It is often mistaken for cellulitis or deep vein thrombosis, especially as initial X-rays may not show the characteristic destruction, dislocation and dissolution of bone in the early stages; the early stages is when the treatment works best.

If Charcot neuroarthropathy is suspected then early referral to a foot MDT can significantly reduce the risk of deformity and amputation. The main treatment is immobilisation, ideally in a non-removable cast. Serial plain X-rays, MRI scans, or isotope bone scans can be used to confirm the diagnosis but treatment should start on clinical suspicion to reduce the likelihood of significant deformity and subsequent ulceration.

Immobilisation should continue until the foot is no longer hot or swollen and is likely to be required for more than 6 months, and the individual should be advised of this. Once the heat and swelling subside, then there can be a graduated return to footwear, which might need to be custom-made to accommodate any deformity; this requires careful management to ensure the process does not restart.

**Foot ulceration**

The recent publication of variation in outcomes for people with diabetes foot ulcers is worrying (Holman et al, 2012). Nearly every diabetes guideline states that ulceration should be managed by diabetes foot specialists in an MDT. This is because MDTs reduce amputations, reduce admissions and reduce lengths of stay for those admitted (Kerr, 2012). However, MDTs do not exist in every hospital or regional diabetes service, and this accounts for some of the variation seen.

Ulcers develop due to pressure causing skin breakdown, and the resulting infection and ischaemia are the main reasons why some individuals proceed to amputation. In managing an ulcer, it is important to consider the factors listed in Box 3.

**Management of foot ulcers**

Both the ulcerated foot and non-ulcerated foot should be examined for vascular status, neuropathy, depth of ulceration and presence of infection. Each of these alters the management plan but, in general, there are three “gold standard” treatments for foot ulceration in a typical MDT, with revascularisation of the dysvascular foot when required. These are infection control, debridement and pressure off-loading. Without consideration of all three of these management options, healing is unlikely to occur and typically when individuals are referred to us with delayed healing, it is debridement and off-loading that have not been addressed adequately.

**Infection control**

Infection is a clinical diagnosis. The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guideline (IDSA, 2012) defines relative levels of infection in foot ulceration. Treatment with antibiotics should be started based on local protocols if clinical infection is suspected and altered on the basis of adequate microbiological samples. This is not just

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 3. Factors to consider when assessing a person with foot ulceration.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Patient’s history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Duration of ulcer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Current medication (particularly cardiac and immunosuppressant drugs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● History of infection and/or osteomyelitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Allergies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Side effects of previous medication (including adverse drug reactions).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Systemically unwell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Renal problems/ transplant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Learning difficulties (for example, inability to adhere to treatment).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Root causation of the wound (ischaemic, neuropathic or mixed; footwear; foot deformity).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Grading of the ulcer to guide prognosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Identification of other diabetes complications (renal status, blood pressure, cholesterol, cardiovascular risk).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Glycaemic control/HbA1c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Debriding the diabetic foot

The most effective skill a clinician can have in ulcer management is the ability to use a scalpel appropriately. Adequate debridement removes infected and non-viable tissue, reduces bacterial load and removes dead tissue. It also enables deep tissue to be taken for culture and may encourage healing by converting a chronic into an acute ulcer. Levels of debridement will depend upon the skill of the practitioner and the vascular status of the person with diabetes. Larvae, hydroscalpels and chemical debridement may all have a place in wound care but the scalpel should be the instrument of choice. If the diabetes nurse does not have these skills then they should refer to a specialist, usually a podiatrist.

The arrival of biotechnologies in the 1990s increased the drive to improve debridement and the phrase “wound bed preparation” was coined; this still influences treatment today (Sibbald et al, 2000). It is vital that a bleeding base is achieved in neuropathic debridement and sometimes the bone and tendon must also be removed, often in the outpatient clinic.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uninfected</td>
<td>No symptoms or signs of infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Infection</td>
<td>Local infection involving only the skin and the subcutaneous tissue (without involvement of deeper tissues and without systemic signs, as described below). Infection present, as defined by the presence of at least two of the following items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Local swelling or induration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Erythema must be &gt;0.5 cm to ≤2 cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Local tenderness or pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Local warmth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Purulent discharge (thick, opaque-to-white or sanguineous secretion) around the ulcer. Exclude other causes of an inflammatory response (such as, trauma, gout, acute Charcot neuro-osteoarthropathy, fracture, thrombosis, venous stasis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate infection</td>
<td>Local infection (as described above) with erythema &gt;2 cm, or involving structures deeper than skin and subcutaneous tissues (abscess, osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, fasciitis), and no systemic inflammatory response signs (as described below).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe infection</td>
<td>Local infection (as described above) with the signs of systemic inflammatory response syndrome, as manifested by two or more of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Temperature &gt;38°C or &lt;36°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Heart rate &gt;90 beats/min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Respiratory rate &gt;20 breaths/min or PaCO₂ &lt;32 mm Hg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- White blood cell count &gt;12 000 or &lt;4000 cells/μL, or ≥10% immature (band) forms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pressure relief

Off-loading ulcers is essential if healing is to be achieved. There are many different ways to off-load, but if a neuropathic wound is not healing, ask the patient about adherence and then try a different method (Box 5). It is important to ensure the individual is happy with the treatment, as adherence will impact on the effectiveness of a device. The total contact cast has long been regarded as the gold standard device for off-loading plantar ulcers but...
Box 5. Methods and devices to offload the diabetic foot.

- Temporary footwear.
- Re-distributive insoles.
- Felted foam.
- Half shoes.
- Air casts.
- Total contact cast.
- Wheelchair or bedrest (may not be practical).

recent evidence suggests other non-removable devices may be as effective (Morona et al, 2013).

Dressings
The common failing in many referrals is that various dressings have been tried without the basic triad of managing ulcers. In dressing selection, there is little evidence to inform definitive choices. More manufacturers are performing randomised studies of dressings, so this may improve. Until then, however, it is prudent to choose a wound dressing that covers, absorbs, does not cause trauma on removal, and that you are familiar with and understand how it works.

Glucose control
People with foot ulcers have poorer diabetes control than the general diabetes population. One small, uncontrolled study suggested healing improved with better glucose control (Rubinstein and Pierce, 1988); however, a larger study (n=245) did not find this (Marston et al, 2006). Furthermore, an attempted randomised controlled study (Idris et al, 2005) could not recruit safely, so this remains unproven.

Concordance
The role of the individual with diabetes in minimising activity, using their off-loading device, taking antibiotics and attending for treatment should not be underestimated. Sometimes it is better to compromise on the perceived “ideal” treatment to increase compliance and the chances of the wound healing (Wiaijaman et al, 2013).

Secondary prevention
People with diabetes have a 50% risk of re-ulceration within one year and some studies demonstrate significant reductions with insoles and appropriate footwear (Maciejewski et al, 2004). Studies have shown that individuals with diabetic foot ulcers have a 50% chance of mortality in five years (Young, 2012), although an uncontrolled study in our own clinic suggests that attention to cardiovascular risk management can significantly improve this (Young et al, 2008). Therefore, optimal cardiovascular management is as important as foot care, if the individual is to survive.

“Optimal cardiovascular management is as important as foot care, if the individual is to survive.”
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1. When considering the epidemiology of diabetes foot ulceration, which of the following statements is FALSE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Proportionately more people with type 2 diabetes develop foot ulceration.
   B. Twice as many people with diabetes have foot ulcers than go blind.
   C. Diabetes foot ulceration is the most expensive diabetes complication.
   D. Half of all major limb amputations in the UK are due to diabetes.

2. In relation to peripheral neuropathy in people with diabetes, which of the following statements is TRUE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Most people with neuropathy are symptom free.
   B. Screening with 10 g monofilaments assesses early neuropathic changes.
   C. Painful neuropathy responds best to opiate analgesia.
   D. Painful symptoms in the diabetes foot are usually due to painful neuropathy.

3. Which of the following statements is TRUE? Ischaemic feet, due to major vessel disease...
   Select ONE option only.
   A. Are always cold and pale.
   B. Can reliably be excluded if there are palpable pulses.
   C. Are always pain free in diabetes due to neuropathy.
   D. Are unlikely to be found in people with other vascular problems.

4. In relation to screening for foot ulcer risk, which of the following statements is FALSE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Screening can reliably identify people with a very low risk of ulceration.
   B. Referring for foot care relevant to risk status is not required by QOF.
   C. People with diabetes, even those at low risk, should have regular podiatry.
   D. Individuals should be given foot care advice relevant to their risk status.

5. When considering Charcot feet, which of the following statements is FALSE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Charcot feet have a better outcome if diagnosed before X-ray changes are established.
   B. The diagnosis of Charcot feet is frequently delayed and often missed.
   C. Charcot feet can safely be walked on whilst the process is active.
   D. Charcot feet should be carefully monitored during and after the active stages.

6. Which of the following statements is TRUE? Foot ulceration in people with diabetes...
   Select ONE option only.
   A. Can be managed effectively without multidisciplinary care.
   B. Is rarely referred late for specialist care.
   C. Leads to minor or major amputation in around 20% of cases.
   D. Infection is the most common reason for amputation in people with diabetes.

7. Regarding the management of infection in foot ulcers, which of the following statements is FALSE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Infection is diagnosed using robust clinical criteria.
   B. Antibiotic therapy should be started without waiting for cultures.
   C. Ulcer surface swabs give reliable culture results.
   D. Changing the dressing alone is likely to improve a non-healing ulcer.

8. In relation to debridement of diabetes foot ulceration, which of the following statements is FALSE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Ischaemic ulceration should never be debrided.
   B. Scalpel debridement is the first line in managing diabetes foot ulceration.
   C. A bleeding ulcer base and edges is acceptable in neuropathic debridement.
   D. The principles of wound bed preparation are less than 20 years old.

9. Regarding pressure relief in people with diabetes foot, which of the following statement is FALSE? Select ONE option only.
   A. Is essential in managing foot ulceration, even dorsal ones.
   B. Non-removable devices give better outcomes than removable ones.
   C. Should be adapted to the ulcer type and site.
   D. Total contact casting is the most successful method for the majority of ulcers.

10. When considering other factors in foot ulceration, which of the following statements is TRUE? Select ONE option only.
    A. Glucose control is proven to improve wound healing.
    B. Changing the dressing alone is likely to improve a non-healing ulcer.
    C. Dressing selection is based on high-level evidence.
    D. Patient non-concordance can negate the best management plan.